

CURRENT KNOWLEDGE AND UNCERTAINTIES ABOUT "CULTURED MEAT"

JEAN-FRANÇOIS HOCQUETTE¹

MARIE-PIERRE ELLIES-OURY¹

SGHAIER CHRIKI²

1. INRAE | France

2. ISARA | France

REFERENCES

1. Boler, D.D., and D.R. Woerner. 2017. What is meat? A perspective from the American Meat Science Association. *Anim. Front.* 7:8–11. <https://doi.org/10.2527/af.2017.0433>
2. Chriki S., Ellies-Oury M.P., Hocquette J.F. (2020). Viande in vitro : Intérêts, enjeux et perception des consommateurs. *Techniques de l'Ingénieur*. F6520 V1. <https://www.viandesetproduitscarnes.com/index.php/fr/1171-la-viande-in-vitro-cultiver-des-cellules-musculaires-a-destination-alimentaire>
3. Chriki, S., Ellies-Oury, M.-P. & Hocquette, J.-F. Is "cultured meat" a viable alternative to slaughtering animals and a good compromise between animal welfare and human expectations? *Animal Frontiers* 12, 35–42 (2022). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359312034_Is_cultured_meat_a_viable_alternative_to_slaughtering_animals_and_a_good_compromise_between_animal_welfare_and_human_expectations
4. Fournier, T. & Lepiller, O. Se nourrir de promesses. Enjeux et critiques de l'introduction de deux innovations dans le domaine alimentaire : test nutri-génétique et viande in vitro. *Socio. La nouvelle revue des sciences sociales* 73–95 (2019) doi:10.4000/socio.4529. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332705883_Se_nourrir_de_promesses_Enjeux_et_critiques_de_l'introduction_de_deux_innovations_dans_le_domaine_alimentaire_test_nutri-genetique_et_viande_in_vitro_Living_on_promises_The_issues_at_stake_and_critiqu
5. Fraeye, I., Kratka, M., Vandeburgh, H. & Thorrez, L. Sensorial and Nutritional Aspects of Cultured Meat in Comparison to Traditional Meat: Much to Be Inferred. *Front. Nutr.* 7, (2020). <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32266282/>
6. Hocquette, É., Liu, J., Ellies-Oury, M.-P., Chriki, S. & Hocquette, J.-F. Does the future of meat in France depend on cultured muscle cells? Answers from different consumer segments. *Meat Science* 188, 108776 (2022). <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35245709/>
7. Mancini, M. C. & Antonioli, F. Chapter 19 - The future of cultured meat between sustainability expectations and socio-economic challenges. in *Future Foods* (ed. Bhat, R.) 331–350 (Academic Press, 2022). doi:10.1016/B978-0-323-91001-9.00024-4. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780323910019000244>
8. Mottet, A. et al. Livestock: On our plates or eating at our table? A new analysis of the feed/food debate. *Global Food Security* 14, 1–8 (2017). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312201313_Livestock_On_our_plates_or_eating_at_our_table_A_new_analysis_of_the_feedfood_debate

9. Ong, K. J. et al. Food safety considerations and research priorities for the cultured meat and seafood industry. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety* 20, 5421–5448 (2021). <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34633147/>
10. Rodríguez Escobar, M. I. et al. Analysis of the Cultured Meat Production System in Function of Its Environmental Footprint: Current Status, Gaps and Recommendations. *Foods* 10, 2941 (2021). <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34945492/>
11. Shaw, E., Mac Con Iomaire, M., 2019. A comparative analysis of the attitudes of rural and urban consumers towards cultured meat. *British Food Journal* 121, 1782–1800. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-07-2018-0433>
12. Smetana, S., Mathys, A., Knoch, A. & Heinz, V. Meat alternatives: life cycle assessment of most known meat substitutes. *International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment* 20, 1254–1267 (2015). <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11367-015-0931-6>